Dear Gary,

10/23/77

It is good hearing from you and hearing in particular how you are, how you are putting your life and your future together so sensibly.

I do hope you can do for the doctorate. If you do, a thesis that could be a good book on the assassination is more than possible. While all teaching on the subject is not responsible, there is an increasing number of colleges that do teach an assassination course, including on the masters level in history and political science.

Before I forget, CBC us going to air a special on 11/22. Hope you can tape it for me. They may send me one, in which even I'll still have ~~died~~ for a second copy because I'm depositing all my records at Wisconsin through the Stevens Point branch, where there is a responsible prof.

A women student at Boston College is to do a doctoral thesis on the executive sessions.

For different reasons each of the tapes you list can be of interest, some in particular because of my various FOIA actions, in and not yet in court. If dubbing all is too great a problem tell me how many you can dub and I'll select those of greatest potential interest. Each should be on a separate cassette. They need not be the expensive ones. If the cost of sening them is not greater than the cost of getting them there I'll send them. I get adequate ones on sale 3 for $1.00.

From what you say about the radio show, skip that. Not worth the work if it was so bitter an experience for you. Ditto for Schweiker, who was a disppointment to me from the start, from the week after we conferred.

I'm glad you didn t turn the stuff over to ~~the~~ Fensterwald. I have no doubt about his intentions but he is generally off in wrong directions and with bad associates. This generally means misuse and sometimes t e destruction of t e value of material.

In response to your question who to trust, very few in this field, Too few. Bernabei may be good to consult with. I'm prejudiced from my own experiences with almost all.

I ~~mgr~~ urge that when you theorize you do it in the light of the limitations imposed by what is established fact. In this connection recommend the last two chapters of Whitrwash and most of Post Mortem. These deal with the body of the crime, with solid, uncontraverted evidence. What is inconsistent with this evidence can and I think should be discarded.

Excuse the haste and the typos. I've literally thousands of FOIA pages I must go over in a hurry and other thousands stacked up awaiting time for examination, with more due this coming week.

Best of luck,